## Preface 2

The researches of Bruce and Taeko Brooks on the classical Chinese texts gained a forum in 1993 with the creation of the Warring States Project at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. Thus began an ongoing dialogue of papers and meetings. *Warring States Papers* makes portions of this material available in easily citable form.

Basic to our approach is the idea that the standard historical-philological methods are applicable to all fields of humanistic endeavor. What are those methods?

As William L Holladay said in his 1986 Jeremiah commentary, "The first question is the integrity of the passage: Is it one unit or more?" We recognize interpolations, strata, and other signs of text growth which the internal evidence may suggest. We remember (with Tischendorf) that, of two related passages, the one which is more readily seen as giving rise to the other is likely to be the earlier. With Ranke, we prefer the earlier evidence, while being aware that all texts have their own agendas. We apply the test of coherence to the individual results, and the criterion of historical plausibility to the gradually emerging larger picture.

We recognize the appeal for modern persons, precisely because they are nearer in time to our modern sensibilities, of such passages as the Hàn additions to Jwāngdž, the lurid stories of the authors of the major military texts, the racy Dzwŏ Jwàn narratives (in which we may see the first flowering of Chinese fiction) as compared to the sober, but also contemporary, Chūn/Chyōu, the chronicle of the state of Lǔ in those centuries. These later developments are also part of history, but they are a *later* part. Our concern has been to ask, of each of these stages, *what actually happened*?

**Subject Groups** in WSP are: (1) Methodology and Comparative, (2) Texts, and (3) Historical Studies. Order within groups is chronological.

**Dates** of papers are those of submission or previous presentation in a conference or other public format; most have been revised for their publication here.

Some papers of general methodological interest are appearing both here and in our younger sister journal *Alpha*; they are distinguished by the rubric

## Warring States Papers v2 (2018) = Alpha v2 (2018)

**This Volume** includes further studies of the Shr, Shū, and Yì, and the Chūn/Chyōu plus the inevitable Dzwŏ Jwàn. Studies of the Dàu/Dý Jīng and the associated Gwōdyèn texts, the Mencius, the military texts, and the Mwòdž are preparatory to cumulation in planned later monographs. Other ongoing topics, such as the Jwāngdž, will have their repository in the pages of WSP itself. On the historical side, we hope to have laid to rest the idea that the mass infantry army, and the resource bureaucracy which sustained it, began in Spring and Autumn times, rather than as part of the deep structural transformation which defined the transition to the aptly named Warring States period: the age of total war. Finally, several earlier studies here culminate in a statistical test of stylistic difference in Chinese and Greek. Application of that test to specific problems in Biblical Greek and in Homer will be pursued only in *Alpha*, where the introductory article is also appearing.

Conventions observed in WSP, its "house style," include the following:

**Dates**. As a more universal convention, we use a leading zero in place of the linguistically parochial BC(E). 479 BC thus becomes 0479, while AD 218 is simply 218. Similarly, the "03rd century" is the 3rd BC, and "3rd" is the 3rd AD; "03rd century" may be shortened to "03c." The advantages of zero over a minus sign are that it allows hyphenation of dates in spans (as, Confucius, 0549-0479) and avoids a conflict with the usage of astronomers (whose "-479" is *not* the "-479" of historians). We suggest that dates be given as precisely as possible, perhaps as spans (0345/0339) or centered ranges (0342 ± 3). We avoid the term "Eastern Jōu" as implying an effective Jōu dominance after 0771. *In those years*, 東周 denoted the eastern half of the Lwò River enclave (JGT #4, #19). The several states saw themselves as living in a power vacuum (MC 7B38) and strove for Jōu-type dominion, universally (MC 1A6) or regionally (LY 17:4, "in the East"). Scholarly usage may appropriately conform. Several early 05c social and cultural changes suggest the usefulness of continuing to distinguish the Spring and Autumn (0770-0479) from the Warring States (0478-0221). For the entire period 0770-0221, the standard term is "pre-Chín" 先秦.

Textual Emendations are given as [請 > 謂] "for 請 read 謂," [-也] "也 is to be omitted," and [+其] "其 is to be supplied." Difficultly readable characters are given in brackets [然]; illegible ones have been replaced by the usual squares:  $\Box \Box \Xi$ . Simplified characters have been standardized throughout the journal.

**Common Alphabetic**. The pleas of Kennedy (1953) for a pronounceable, and Boodberg (1959) for a humanistically intelligible, Chinese romanization remain unmet by current systems. To be "guessable" by readers with English alphabetic reflexes, a spelling should respect the mnemonic "consonants as in English, vowels as in Italian." For vowels *not* in Italian, we use: æ as in cat, v (the linguist's inverted v, or  $\Lambda$ , but *uninverted*) as in cut, r as in fur, z as in adz, and yw (after 1 and n, simply w) for "umlaut u." Tones are hīgh, rísing, lów, and fàlling. An equivalence table between this "Common Alphabetic" Romanization and two other systems frequently encountered is given on p251-254.

**References**. Short citations (eg Surname **Keyword**) are expanded at the end of each paper; for abbreviations, see the lists at the end of the volume.

Acknowledgement. Since its founding, the Project has been sustained by a series of patrons in the University administration. On this occasion, the appearance of the second volume of *Warring States Papers*, we wish to gratefully acknowledge the present team: Chancellor Kumble Subbaswamy, Vice Chancellor for Research Michael Malone, and Dean Julie C Hayes of the College of Humanities and Fine Arts.

**Envoi**. We are pleased to introduce these papers to a wider scholarly audience. The Project hopes that they will stimulate further research on the nature and significance of the Warring States centuries, the most constitutive of all Chinese historical periods, and the classical age of China's philosophers; its intellectual and political tradition. May they also suggest that, at the methodological level as in some specific details, humanity, and the study of humanity, are ultimately one

The Editors